REGULAR ARTICLE

Intramolecular ferromagnetic coupling in bis-oxoverdazyl and bis-thioxoverdazyl diradicals with polyacene spacers

Debojit Bhattacharya · Suranjan Shil · Anirban Misra · D. J. Klein

Received: 11 October 2009/Accepted: 19 November 2009/Published online: 4 December 2009 © Springer-Verlag 2009

Abstract We predict the intramolecular exchange coupling constant (J) for 10 different oxo- and thioxo-verdazyl-based hi-spin ground-state diradicals with linear polyacene couplers of varying length using the broken symmetry approach in an unrestricted DFT framework. The magnetic characteristics of these systems are explained using the spin-density distribution, and an analysis is made by "magnetic" orbitals. The nuclear independent chemical shift (NICS) values have been calculated for the diradicals. The average NICS(1) (1 Å above the ring surface) value per benzenoid ring increases as the size of the coupler increases. So-called $\Delta NICS(1)$ values [the difference among average NICS(1) per benzenoid ring in the coupler and the NICS(1) of the linear polyacene molecule] are correlated with J values. Bond orders and hyperfine coupling constants have also been evaluated and analyzed for the diradicals.

Keywords High spin · Verdazyl · Ferromagnet · Nuclear independent chemical shift · Polyacene couplers

Electronic supplementary material The online version of this article (doi:10.1007/s00214-009-0705-y) contains supplementary material, which is available to authorized users.

D. Bhattacharya · S. Shil · A. Misra (⊠) Department of Chemistry, University of North Bengal, Darjeeling 734013, West Bengal, India e-mail: anirbanmisra@yahoo.com

D. J. Klein MARS, Texas A&M University at Galveston, Galveston, TX 77553, USA

1 Introduction

In the last few decades, experimentalists as well as theoreticians have paid special attention to the design, characterization and application of ferromagnetic materials based on organic diradicals [1–3]. The first purely organic magnetic material, based on the β -crystal phase of *p*-nitrophenyl nitronyl nitroxide radical, was discovered by Kinoshita and co-workers [4], and has thrust the work nearer to the target of an organic ferromagnet. It is often important to have proper apprehension about the intramolecular magnetic exchange-coupling constants before synthesizing organic diradicals intended as prospective ferromagnets [1]. As the aromatic linear fused-ring couplers of varying length can be synthesized easily, an interest has grown up concerning diradicals coupled with polyacene spacers.

Only a few organic paramagnetic species have reasonable stability to be suitable for the design of organic molecular ferromagnets [5, 6]. Organic radicals such as nitronyl nitroxide, verdazyl, tetrathiafulvalene are appropriate for this purpose. The advantage of working with such systems is that they do not have any bulky substituent [7]. Nitronyl nitroxide diradical with ethylene coupler, isolated and studied by Ziessel et al. [8], shows a very high exchange coupling constant. In the DFT framework, Ali and Datta [9, 10] have comprehensively studied nitronyl-nitroxide-based molecular ferromagnets with different π -conjugated couplers. The potentiality of verdazyl radical as a precursor of molecular magnets remained unnoticed for a long time [11, 12], although it was first synthesized by Kuhn and Trischmann [13]. The spin-active verdazyl moiety is a good option for the design of molecular magnets. Non-Kekulé bis-oxoverdazyl diradical remains in the singlet ground state with small amount of thermally populated "triplet" [14]. Brook et al. [15] have also extensively studied its electronic properties and found that it is strongly antiferromagnetically coupled. The HOMO and LUMO of bis-oxoverdazyl diradicals are similar to those of tetramethyleneethane (TME) [15, 16]. Substitution of both of the oxygen atoms in bis-oxoverdazyl diradical by sulfur atoms (bis-thioxoverdazyl diradical) gives a red shift [17]. Fico et al. [14] have reported that the room temperature EPR spectrum of bis-thioxoverdazyl diradical is similar to that of the bisoxoverdazyl diradical. They also have found that there is a notable variation in electron density between the oxo and thioxo derivatives. The magnetic properties of different derivatives of verdazyl radicals have been studied extensively [11, 12, 18-26]. The radicals, 6-oxo- and 6-thioxoverdazyl are also known to be chemically stable. They can be isolated in solvent-free pure forms in the crystalline state [17, 27]. Azidophenyl substituted verdazyls have also been prepared by Lathi and co-workers [28]. Hicks et al. [29] have studied the supra-molecular chemistry of verdazyl molecules. Phosphaverdazyl radicals are also synthesized and characterized by Hicks and Hooper [30].

The intramolecular magnetic exchange-coupling constant (J) as described by Heisenberg Hamiltonian is the best known descriptor of magnetism. The value of J depends on the molecular geometry of the diradicals and the unpairedelectron structures. Prior knowledge of the magnetic exchange-coupling constant characteristics becomes useful before synthesizing molecular ferromagnets [1]. In a diradical, the exchange-coupling constant depends crucially on the distance between two radical centers and the nature of the couplers. Gilroy et al. [31] have synthesized various verdazyl-based compounds and characterized their magnetic properties. Applying unrestricted density functional methodology, intramolecular magnetic exchange-coupling constants have been studied for a series of bis-nitronyl nitroxide diradicals bridged with different π -couplers by Ali and Datta [9]. Polo et al. [32] have investigated tetrathiafulvalene (TTF) and verdazyl diradical cations with similar couplers. Although, in this work they criticize the use of spin projected techniques and decide not to use it, but they finally end up using one such method. In a recent work, Latif et al. [33] investigated polyene spacers with mixed radical systems, in which they have established that the magnitude of the coupling constant depends strongly on the planarity of the molecular structure, spin polarization paths, length of the couplers, etc. In some recent work, we have designed and investigated 11 bis-oxoverdazyl diradicals connected by different linkage-specific aromatic couplers and found that meta-coupled diradicals are ferromagnetic whereas paracoupled diradicals are antiferromagnetic in nature [34]. Logically, our present work follows these investigations, where the objective is to design and characterize oxoverdazyl and thioxoverdazyl-based molecular ferromagnets coupled with different polyacene spacers. We have designed two sets of diradicals (set A with 5 bis-oxoverdazyl diradicals and set B with 5 bis-thioxoverdazyl diradicals) coupled by different meta-connected linear polyacene spacers of varying length. Some of the systems under investigation are already synthesized and characterized [14, 31]. It is noticed that the magnetic exchange coupling constant depends on the length of the coupler and spin polarization path. The polyacenes are aromatic hydrocarbons with linearly fused benzene rings [35]. Experimentalists as well as theoreticians have been attracted by these substances for a long time [36]. Pentacenes are known to have semiconducting property [35, 37] hence; it can be used in spintronic materials. It is established that the polyacenes are predicted to have smaller band gaps than the corresponding polyenes [38]. The polyacenes become less stable with increasing numbers of benzene rings [35, 36]. In this work, we find, linear polyacene coupled bis-oxo- and bisthioxo-verdazyl diradicals have moderate ferromagnetically signed exchange-coupling constants. The exchange coupling constants are evaluated through the spin-polarized unrestricted DFT methodology. The broken-symmetry (BS) approach, described in the next section, has been adopted here to quantify ferromagnetic coupling constants for all the systems described above.

2 Theoretical background and computational details

The Heisenberg spin Hamiltonian is normally used to express the magnetic exchange interaction between two magnetic sites 1 and 2,

$$\hat{H} = -2J\hat{S}_1 \cdot \hat{S}_2,\tag{1}$$

where *J* is the exchange coupling constant between two magnetic centers of a diradical, with \hat{S}_1 and \hat{S}_2 being the respective spin angular momentum operators. The square of the total spin operator \hat{S}^2 has eigenvalue S(S + 1). A positive sign of *J*, in which a situation of parallel spin is essential, is used to indicate a ferromagnetically signed interaction, whereas an antiferromagnetically signed interaction is indicated by a negative value, where a state of antiparallel spins is favored. For a diradical with a single unpaired electron on each site, *J* can be written as

$$E_{(S=1)} - E_{(S=0)} = -2J.$$
⁽²⁾

A single determinantal wave function in the unrestricted Hartree–Fock formalism cannot truly represent the singlet state of a diradical. Moreover, this introduces spin contamination in such calculations. Therefore, this method cannot directly yield reliable J values. However, one can evaluate J by determining the exact singlet and "triplet" energy values from a multiconfigurational approach and MCSCF calculations on bis-verdazyl systems have been

carried out [39]. The performance of the complete active space second-order perturbation theory (CASPT2) and accurate difference dedicated configuration interaction calculations for α -4-dehydrotoluene and 1,1',5,5'-tetramethyl-6,6'-dioxo-3,3'-bis-verdazyl and other diradicals have also been reported by Illas and co-workers [40] and the authors found that CASPT2 provides a clean alternatives to the use of BS-UDFT-based methods. However, these methods are resource intensive and are not employed in our presently reported work. On the other hand, the brokensymmetry (BS) formalism proposed by Noodleman [41, 42] in a DFT framework is an alternative approach to evaluate Jwith less computational effort. The BS state, which is a weighted average of low- and high-spin states, is often found to be spin contaminated. But with the use of a "spinprojection" technique, reliable estimates of the exchangecoupling constant can be obtained via this BS approach. Depending upon the extent of overlap between magnetic orbitals, different expressions for J have been proposed by many researchers [40-57], using the unrestricted spinpolarized BS solution for the lower-spin state. The expression for J given by Ginsberg [43], Noodleman [44], and Davidson [45] is more useful when overlap of the magnetic orbitals is very small. The expression put forward by Bencini and co-workers [46, 47], Ruiz et al. [48] uses the energy of the BS state as that of the open-shell singlet without spin projection and attempt to justify their choice (later on) by the hypothesis of the large overlap limit between magnetic orbitals. However, this approach has been shown to be unphysical by Caballol et al. [49], where the overlap is explicitly calculated and found to be small. In a more recent work, Moreria and Illas [50] have shown that there exists a common physical background in the magnetic interactions in organic diradicals, inorganic complexes or ionic solids, where the magnetic interactions occur between localized spins. Further, Illas and co-workers [51-53] have shown that spin unrestricted broken symmetry and spin-restrictedensemble-referenced Kohn-Sham (REKS) lead to similar estimate of J values. However, exchange correlation functional used in REKS approach is under development and performance of each functional is yet to be explored.

Nevertheless, in this work, the Yamaguchi model [54– 57] is employed to get the J values, and this is the proper choice, especially if one is uncertain of the magnitude of Jbeforehand. The expression is

$$J = \frac{(E_{\rm BS} - E_{\rm T})}{\langle S^2 \rangle_{\rm T} - \langle S^2 \rangle_{\rm BS}},\tag{3}$$

where $E_{\rm BS}$ and $E_{\rm T}$ denote the energy of the broken symmetry singlet and "triplet" state, and where $\langle S^2 \rangle_{\rm T}$ and $\langle S^2 \rangle_{\rm BS}$ represent respective average spin-square values in "triplet" and BS states. It should be noted here that $E_{\rm T}$ is the approximate form of $E_{\rm T'}$, the energy of the "triplet" state in the unrestricted formalism using the BS orbital. The approximation is valid because of very less spin contamination in the high spin states [9, 10]. However, even for this high symmetry states the spin unrestricted calculations converge to a state which has not spin well defined. As $\langle S^2 \rangle_{\rm T}$ is near 2 and $\langle S^2 \rangle_{\rm BS}$ is near 1, Eq. 3 reduces essentially to the case with small overlap and the denominator is just a very small correction.

The energy differences in B3LYP calculations are accurate to $\pm 2-5$ kcal/mole. In this work, the computed energy difference is less than 0.1 kcal/mole. As a result, this is not surprising that the J values may be little overestimated. Nevertheless, in this predictive work we get the sign of exchange coupling right and therefore correctly predict the nature of coupling. Ruiz et al. [58] related the overestimation of J values with the presence of high self interaction error (SIE) in commonly used DFT exchange correlation potentials. However, this has been severely questioned by a comment co-authored by a large list of specialists in this field [59]. Polo and co-workers [60] have concluded that the presence of SIE in commonly used DFT approximations is related to "nondynamic" correlation energy. Hybrid functionals are more suitable than pure DFT functionals in BS-UDFT calculations because the former reduces the SIE of DFT exchange functionals [61]. The suitability of B3LYP over LSDA and GGA approach has also been justified by Martin and Illas [62]. Thus, one can surmise that as B3LYP functional is parameterized mainly to molecules composed of light atoms, it likely to give superior energy differences in such molecules. In this work, the molecular geometries of a sequence of compounds (i-x) have been fully optimized with the UB3LYP [63-65] exchange correlation potential using a 6-31G(d,p)basis set [66-68]. With these optimized geometries energy calculations of each of the species are then performed with a larger basis set i.e., 6-311 + +G(d,p), and these are used to calculate the J values. To obtain the open-shell BS singlet solution, "guess = mix" keyword is used within the unrestricted formalism. The BS states are stable for all 10 diradicals. All the calculations have been carried out using the GAUSSIAN 03 W [69] quantum chemical package. Hyperchem 7.5 [70] and Molekel 4.0 [71] softwares have also been used for visualization. All computations of this work have been carried out in a HP xw4600 workstation, with processor Intel Core 2 Duo CPU, processor speed 2.33 GHz, 3,072 MB of RAM with 64-bit operating system, nevertheless, GAUSSIAN 03 W is known to be a 32-bit application.

3 Results and discussion

The main characteristic of the radicals of the verdazyl family is their stability as well as their ferromagnetic

nature in suitably designed diradicals [40, 72]. The optimized structures of the systems under investigation are planar. As a result, better spin polarization along the π conjugated network stabilizes the "triplet" states [73, 74]. The linker between two same or different organic radicals plays a major role in determining the sign and magnitude of exchange coupling constants [9, 10, 32-34]. We examine spin coupling between radical centers separated by polyaromatics, using density functional theory based broken symmetry approach to calculate the values of the exchange coupling constants (Table 1) from the differences in highspin and low-spin broken symmetry energies for two sets (set A and set B) of compounds, some of which are already known [14, 31], and the others are newly designed as given in Scheme 1 (also see figure given in supplementary material). In set A, we have considered meta-coupled diradicals with general formula OV-X-OV, where X [when X = 1 (benzene coupler), 2 (naphthalene coupler), 3 (anthracene coupler), 4 (tetracene coupler), 5 (pentacene coupler)] is the number of aceneic benzene ring(s) used as couplers and OV is oxo-verdazyl monoradical, whereas for set B diradicals, -OV radicals are replaced by -TV (thioxoverdazyl) radicals. It is established that the unpaired spins are equally distributed among the specified atoms of the diradicals $(N_2, N_4, N_{10} \text{ and } N_{14} \text{ in Scheme 1})$ [7]. Numerical values of J are obtained by use of Eq. 3 for all 10 species, and are reported in Table 1. Normally, the sign of J does not depend on the basis set employed [32]; hence the nature of predicted magnetic behavior in this work is reliable. Through close inspection of Table 1, it can be inferred that consistent results have been obtained with different basis sets and all 10 diradicals are ferromagnetic in nature. The methyl groups linked with verdazyl N atoms are replaced by hydrogen atoms to save computation time [32]. In a recent work [34], the bis-oxoverdazyl diradical with no coupler has been found to generate a strong antiferromagnetically signed interaction according to DFT computations, as is in good agreement with previous experimental studies [15]. In the present work, we find that the *m*-aceneic coupled bis-oxoverdazyl and bis-thioxoverdazyl diradicals manifest a ferromagnetically signed interaction, which is also in good agreement with other works [9, 10, 31, 34]. The decreasing order of calculated J values from (i)-(iii) in set A and (vi)-(viii) in set B are also in good agreement with the work of Ali and Datta [10]. The change in J values from (iii)–(v) in set A and (viii)–(x) in set B can be attributed to the fact that the larger polyacenes possess open-shell singlet ground states [35]. However, with our larger basis set, the J value obtained for diradical (viii) is marginally higher than expected.

Table 1 UB3LYP level absolute energies in a.u., $\langle S^2 \rangle$ and intramolecular exchange-coupling constant ($J \text{ cm}^{-1}$) using 6-31G(d,p) and 6-311 ++G(d,p) basis sets, for bis-oxoverdazyl diradicals (i–v) and bis-thioxoverdazyl diradicals (vi–x)

At UB3LYP/6-31G(d,p) level				At UB3LYP/6-311 ++G(d,p) level			
Di radica	als	BS	"Triplet"	$J (\mathrm{cm}^{-1})$	BS	"Triplet"	$J (\mathrm{cm}^{-1})$
(i)	Е	-974.25460	-974.25491	67	-974.50782	-974.50816	74
	$\langle S^2 \rangle$	1.049	2.059		1.048	2.055	
(ii)	Е	-1,127.90269	-1,127.90284	33	-1,128.18659	-1,128.18673	31
	$\langle S^2 \rangle$	1.050	2.060		1.052	2.056	
(iii)	Е	-1,281.54431	-1,281.54432	02	-1,281.85856	-1,281.85858	04
	$\langle S^2 \rangle$	1.056	2.065		1.052	2.054	
(iv)	Е	-1,435.18313	-1,435.18315	04	-1,435.52783	-1,435.52801	39
	$\langle S^2 \rangle$	1.058	2.078		1.052	2.057	
(v)	Е	-1,588.82059	-1,588.82080	44	-1,589.19595	-1,589.19614	41
	$\langle S^2 \rangle$	1.079	2.138		1.059	2.068	
(vi)	Е	-1,620.17104	-1,620.17131	59	-1,620.42061	-1,620.42081	44
	$\langle S^2 \rangle$	1.047	2.054		1.047	2.051	
(vii)	Е	-1,773.81940	-1,773.81944	09	-1,774.09948	-1,774.09965	37
	$\langle \mathbf{S}^2 \rangle$	1.049	2.055		1.048	2.053	
(viii)	Е	-1,927.46107	-1,927.46110	07	-1,927.77164	-1,927.77178	31
	$\langle S^2 \rangle$	1.050	2.060		1.048	2.053	
(ix)	Е	-2,081.09999	-2,081.10004	11	-2,081.44114	-2,081.44120	13
	$\langle S^2 \rangle$	1.054	2.072		1.051	2.054	
(x)	Е	-2,234.73756	-2,234.73776	42	-2,235.10927	-2,235.10941	31
	$\langle S^2 \rangle$	1.072	2.128		1.055	2.061	

Scheme 1 General schematic representation of diradicals (i– x) with five different polyacene couplers, where formally there are two unpaired electrons at N₄ and N₁₀ atoms and the benzenoid rings are denoted as *A*, *B*, *C*, *D* and *E*. The polyacene couplers (X = 1-5) are used in both sets of diradicals



3.1 Bond order

Using natural bond-order analysis, we have calculated the Wiberg bond order [75] at the UB3LYP/6-31G(d,p) level. The calculated average Wiberg bond orders between the coupler and the monoradical moieties on both sides for each of the 10 diradicals are given in Table 2. With the increase in the number of benzenoid rings in the coupler. the number of delocalizable π -electrons increases and a small increment in Wiberg bond order is noted. It can also be observed that as the average bond order increases, the average Mulliken atomic spin densities decrease modestly on the radical centers (Table 3) for the first three diradicals of each set. As a consequence, the magnitude of J value also decreases for the first three diradicals in both sets [10]. On the other hand, for the last two diradicals in every set, with the increase of the diradical character of the coupler, the average Mulliken atomic spin density on the radical centers increases and hence the J value [10].

3.2 Spin-density distribution

Hund's rule-based spin alternation rule [73, 74], is very helpful to predict the ground state magnetism for diradicals coupled with different couplers. This rule states that when

the pathway through the coupler propagates through an even number of bonds, ferromagnetism arises. Kiovisto and Hicks [7] have discussed the fact that bis-oxoverdazyl diradical possess a plane of symmetry through the linkage bond between two monoradicals, as a result, the spin distribution is subdued and antiferromagnitism arises. However, the linkage position of the π -donor unit to an aromatic ring coupler determines the sign of J. It is widely recognized that meta-phenylene-coupled diradicals exhibit a high ferromagnetically signed interaction [9, 10, 31-34]. In our present work, we have used "meta"-coupled linear polyacene spacers of varying length between two oxoverdazyl and two thio-verdazyl monoradicals and found that all the diradicals strictly follow the spin alternation rule (Fig. 1). Indeed, the consequences of this spin-alternation rule follows directly from the results [76–78] for alternant conjugated π -networks that the total ground-state spin is half of the difference between the number of spins on "starred" and "unstarred" sites. An alternant network is such that the sites can be partitioned into starred and unstarred sites with every neighboring pair of sites of different types. That is, in a diradical species, the two unpaired electrons are predicted to give a "triplet" or a "singlet" ground state as the two electrons are, respectively, on like (i.e., both "starred" and both "unstarred") or

Table 2 The calculated average Wiberg bond order for the linkage bond between the monoradical and the coupler on both sides, for all the diradicals (i-x) at the UB3LYP level using a 6-31G(d,p) basis set

Diradicals	Wiberg bond order		
(i)	1.042		
(ii)	1.045		
(iii)	1.048		
(iv)	1.050		
(v)	1.051		
(vi)	1.048		
(vii)	1.053		
(viii)	1.056		
(ix)	1.059		
(x)	1.061		

Table 3 The calculated Mulliken atomic spin densities for all the diradicals (i–x) with the couplers at UB3LYP level using 6-31G(d,p) basis set [N₂, N₄ and N₁₀, N₁₄ (Scheme 1) are the respective atoms in the verdazyl moiety where the unpaired spins are delocalized [7]]

Diradicals	N ₂	N_4	Average	N ₁₀	N ₁₄	Average
(i)	0.4307	0.4326	0.4317	0.4318	0.4316	0.4317
(ii)	0.4435	0.4191	0.4313	0.4199	0.4426	0.4313
(iii)	0.4109	0.4489	0.4299	0.4110	0.4489	0.4300
(iv)	0.4533	0.4121	0.4327	0.4128	0.4527	0.4328
(v)	0.4566	0.4136	0.4351	0.4136	0.4566	0.4351
(vi)	0.4292	0.4283	0.4289	0.4285	0.4291	0.4288
(vii)	0.4406	0.4168	0.4287	0.4168	0.4406	0.4287
(viii)	0.4067	0.4451	0.4259	0.4066	0.4451	0.4259
(ix)	0.4512	0.4096	0.4304	0.4097	0.4511	0.4304
(x)	0.4546	0.4111	0.4329	0.4105	0.4501	0.4303

unlike (i.e., one is "starred" and the other "unstarred"). It is also to be noted that two like sites are separated by an even number of bonds, while unlike sites are separated by odd number of bonds, which is essentially the same as that given by Trindle et al. [73, 74]. This general result arises in the context of the nearest neighbor Heisenberg spin model, which is equivalent to the covalent-space Pauling-Wheland VB model. This theorematic result is a consequence of a general symmetry feature of the nodes of the many-electron wave-function [76]. However, these theorematic results apply when the average formal number of π -electrons per site in the π -network is 1 [79]. If one includes in the π -network a different number of π -electrons, that is, when one introduces a formally neutral N atom with 3 σ bonds and a net contribution of 2 π -electrons, then a different situation arises. To understand this, when two N atoms are neighbors, as that in verdazyl, with one N atom formally contributing 1 π -electron and the other 2, there is a major ground-state contribution with spin \uparrow on the first site and spin $\uparrow \downarrow$ on the second site. This configuration also interacts with another configuration via electron transfer, with spin $\uparrow \downarrow$ on the first site and spin \uparrow on the second site. Thus, one finds parallel spins on these two neighboring Natom sites which are in agreement with our computational results, as illustrated in Fig. 1.

3.3 Analysis of singly occupied molecular orbitals

With the help of extended Hückel theory (ETH), Hoffmann [80] suggested that if the energy difference is less than 1.5 eV between two consecutive singly occupied molecular orbitals (SOMOs), then parallel orientation of spins occurs. However, the theorem concerning the number of sites of the two different types ("starred" and "unstarred"), indicates the situation which is generally more nuanced. Within the MO framework, one also needs to figure out the overlap between the SOMOs, for which the argumentation leading to Hund's rule is severely weakened [16, 81]. At the B3LYP level with 6-31G(d,p) basis set, $4n \pi$ -anti-aromatic linear and angular polyheteroacenes have been investigated by Constantinides et al. [82] where they found that for a SOMO splitting $\Delta E_{SS} > 1.3$ eV, a singlet ground state results with antiparallel orientation of spins. The critical value of ΔE_{SS} is different in different cases [34]. In this work, we calculate the SOMO-SOMO energy gap for the "triplet" ground state of all 10 diradicals. It is observed that, diradicals (i) in set A and (vi) in set B have the lowest ΔE_{SS} (Table 4) value with the highest J value in their respective sets. Among the diradicals (i), (ii), (iii) (in set A) and (vi), (vii), (viii) (in set B) the ΔE_{SS} value increases as the J value decreases. This observation makes it clear that our calculations are consistent with the Hay-Thibeault-Hoffmann [83] (HTH) formula for the singlet-triplet energy gap in weakly coupled dinuclear metal complexes. However, for last two diradicals in each set, the ΔE_{SS} values are higher; despite they show high values of J. This is due to the fact that larger polyacenes possess within themselves a significant radicaloid character, with a "triplet" state approaching toward the singlet ground state, which then may be better viewed as an open-shell singlet. This observation is also found in recent computations [35, 84, 85], but this is also conceivable from reasonable extensions of "classical" arguments of Clar [86]. If one imagines that as the length of an N-acene increases, the N + 1 ordinary single Clar-sextet structures become of ever lesser importance than the diradicaloid two-Clar-sextet structures, for which there is a much greater number $= \frac{1}{12}N(N-1)^2(N-2)$. In fact, Clar [87, 88] paid little attention to radicals, though recent extensions to deal with radicaloid species reflect favorably on his ideas, and such natural extensions follow. The point is that with an extended diradicaloid nature for the spacer, its spin-polarization is eased, and the communication (as mediated by J)

Fig. 1 Spin-density distribution plots for each of the diradicals (i–x); *blue color* indicates α spin, and *green color* indicates β spin



is enhanced. In any event, in our present work, all 10 diradicals under investigation have $\Delta E_{SS} < 1.5$ eV and show manifestly ferromagnetic coupling. Thus, even if it is not conclusively proved that the Kohn–Sham orbital energies have a relationship with the magnetic coupling constants, this analysis provides justification of such a connection, albeit empirically.

3.4 Nuclear independent chemical shift

The quintessence of aromaticity can be straightforwardly documented with ease, yet it is tricky to categorize quantitatively because of its multidimensional [89–92] or partially ordered [93, 94] nature. In some interesting work, Illas and co-workers [95] and Feixas et al. [96] have shown

Table 4 The energy of SOMOs in a.u. and their differences in eV at UB3LYP level using a 6-31G(d,p) basis set for diradicals (i–x)

Diradicals	$E_{\rm S}(1)$ au	$E_{\rm S}(2)$ au	$\Delta E_{\rm SS} { m eV}$
(i)	-0.20778	-0.20771	0.0019
(ii)	-0.20774	-0.20681	0.0253
(iii)	-0.20744	-0.19874	0.2367
(iv)	-0.20706	-0.18565	0.5826
(v)	-0.20665	-0.17576	0.8406
(vi)	-0.21952	-0.21941	0.0030
(vii)	-0.21878	-0.21739	0.0378
(viii)	-0.21778	-0.20625	0.3137
(ix)	-0.21698	-0.19244	0.6678
(x)	-0.21587	-0.18212	0.9184

that different measures of aromaticity exhibit some discrepancies. There are many descriptors to study aromaticity, but nuclear independent chemical shift (NICS), which is a local aromaticity index, has many advantages over others. The NICS values depend on the methodology used and the basis set employed [90-92]. In our present work, the NICS values for all aromatic rings in 10 different diradicals are calculated by using GIAO-UB3LYP methodology with a 6-311 + G(d,p) basis set. The NICS values are calculated at the center of the ring [NICS (0)] and 1 Å above the ring surface [NICS (1)], where, π -orbital density is maximum. Schleyer and co-workers [90-92] have studied linear polyacene molecules with B3LYP/6-311 + G(d,p) level using GIAO-B3LYP methodology. Ali and Datta [10] also have used the same methodology and basis sets for nitronyl nitroxide based polyacene spacers. These authors have noticed that terminal rings in the linear polyacenes have less benzenoid character and the inner rings are more aromatic than benzene itself. These results are in good agreement with the results obtained in this work (Table 5). It is observed from Table 6 that $NICS(1)_{coupler} < NICS(1)_{acenes}$. The difference between average NICS(1)_{coupler} and NICS(1)_{acene} is represented by $\Delta NICS(1)$. For both set of diradicals it is obvious that the average NICS(1) value per benzenoid ring increases as the size of the coupler increases. It is also observed that with the decrease of $\Delta NICS(1)$, J value decreases for the first three species in each set but the reverse trend is observed for the last two species in both sets of diradicals due to the increase of diradical character of the couplers as discussed in the previous section.

3.5 Isotropic hyperfine coupling constant

Hyperfine coupling constants (HFCC), the interaction between nuclear and electric magnetic moments depends on the spin density of the related nuclei. HFCCs are

Table 5 The calculated NICS values at the center of the aromatic rings at UB3LYP level using a 6-311 + G(d,p) basis set for diradicals (i–x)

Diradicals	NICS	Α	В	С	D	Ε
(i)	NICS(0)	-6.62				
	NICS(1)	-8.96				
(ii)	NICS(0)	-8.77	-8.77			
	NICS(1)	-9.46	-9.46			
(iii)	NICS(0)	-6.62	-9.90	-6.62		
	NICS(1)	-8.81	-11.71	-8.81		
(iv)	NICS(0)	-5.81	-10.25	-10.24	-5.80	
	NICS(1)	-8.12	-11.95	-11.95	-8.11	
(v)	NICS(0)	-5.10	-9.64	-11.20	-9.64	-5.10
	NICS(1)	-7.49	-11.43	-12.65	-11.43	-7.49
(vi)	NICS(0)	-6.56				
	NICS(1)	-8.99				
(vii)	NICS(0)	-7.21	-7.27			
	NICS(1)	-9.55	-9.51			
(viii)	NICS(0)	-6.42	-10.00	-6.29		
	NICS(1)	-8.87	-11.80	-8.82		
(ix)	NICS(0)	-5.63	-10.25	-10.25	-5.75	
	NICS(1)	-8.14	-11.99	-11.99	-8.18	
(x)	NICS(0)	-4.88	-9.60	-11.24	-9.63	-5.02
	NICS(1)	-7.50	-11.46	-12.70	-11.45	-7.55

Table 6 The calculated NICS(1) values for the all the diradicals (i– x) and corresponding acene molecules, Δ NICS(1), at UB3LYP level using 6-311 + G(d,p) basis set

Average NICS(1)					
Diradicals	Couplers*	Acenes**	$\Delta NICS(1)$		
(i)	-8.96	-10.60	1.64		
(ii)	-9.46	-10.80	1.34		
(iii)	-9.78	-11.00	1.22		
(iv)	-10.03	-11.10	1.07		
(v)	-10.10	-11.20	1.10		
(vi)	-8.99	-10.60	1.61		
(vii)	-9.53	-10.80	1.27		
(viii)	-9.83	-11.00	1.17		
(ix)	-10.08	-11.10	1.02		
(x)	-10.13	-11.20	1.07		

^a In bis-oxo- and bis-thioxo-verdazyl diradicals (Table 5)

^b In acene molecules without bis-oxo- and bis-thioxo-verdazyl diradicals as given in Ref. [92]

difficult to calculate because of electron correlation and basis-set effects. Solvent also often plays an effective role to influence the HFCC values. In our present work, we have calculated HFCCs within the DFT framework by using an EPR-II basis set at the UB3LYP level in vacuum. As it turns out, "S" atoms are not considered in the EPR-II basis set in the quantum chemical package used for computations [69] so we have used the 6-31G(d,p) basis set for "S" atoms in all set B diradicals.

In oxo-vardazyl and thioxo-verdazyl monoradicals, two sets of two equivalent nitrogen atoms (N₁–N₅ and N₂–N₄) (Scheme 1) are found. Plater et al. [97] in bis-verdazyl diradical have observed that HFCC for $a(N_2-N_4) = 6.5$ G and $a(N_1-N_5) = 5.3$ G (depending upon the nature of substitution), which are nearly similar to that observed by Neugebauer et al. [27, 98] They have also found that larger HFCC values are obtained at N₂, N₄ and N₁₀, N₁₄ which means that the spins are localized along the N = C–N group rather than over the Me–N–CO–N–Me group (Scheme 1). Nitronyl nitroxide diradical with different couplers [9, 10] have been studied and the HFCC values for conjugated coupler added diradicals reduce to half of the values for corresponding monoradicals.

In our present work, we have computed HFCC values for all eight N-atoms present in each bis-oxo- and thioxoverdazyl diradical. From these computations (reported in the supplementary material), we see that the calculated gas phase HFCC values for N_2-N_4 and $N_{10}-N_{14}$ are larger than that of N_1-N_5 and $N_{11}-N_{13}$ in both sets of diradicals. These results are in good agreement with many experiments [27, 98]. However, we do not find a clear relationship between the HFCC values and the exchange-coupling constants.

4 Conclusions

This work presents a theoretical study of magnetic intramolecular coupling in bis-oxoverdazyl and bis-thioxoverdazyl diradicals with polyacene spacers using a density functional theory based method within the broken symmetry approach to estimate the energy of the open shell singlet. We discuss the results in light of correlation with several qualities related to spin density. This subject is of interest for the community seeking for stable organic ferromagnets. Although we have used the unrestricted B3LYP exchange-correlation potential, recent advances have shown that other functionals, such as functionals of M06 family [99] and the range-separated functionals [100] represent a considerable improvement over B3LYP. Bisverdazyl is one of the compounds included to study the usefulness of such functionals; thus, these functionals are promising to study organic ferromagnets. In our study, all 10 diradicals (i)–(x), have been found to be ferromagnetic in nature (i.e., with a "triplet" ground state). A general site-type-classification given by theorematically based rule [76, 77], accurately predicts the sign of J, as well as the spin-density alternation pattern [78], which is also consonant with an empirically designed rule [73, 74] for the sign of J. The exchange-coupling interactions are mainly transmitted through conjugated π -electron network as observed by other authors [9, 10, 31-34]. It is emphasized that this coupling between distant diradical sites is enhanced when the coupler itself has a low-lying excited "triplet" state with spin-density spread over the intervening space, as manifested in (iv), (v) and (ix), (x). A clear signature of this effective transmission is seen in the strong spin-density alternation (Fig. 1) pattern, as obvious from an MO analysis. The J values decrease (Table 1) from X = 1 to X = 3 (Scheme 1) but increase from X = 3to X = 5 for both sets of diradicals. The increase in J value in the latter case is due to the evident increase of diradical character of tetracene and pentacene couplers. In dimetal systems, which exhibit little charge transfer from ligands to metals, predicted J values are found to be pretty accurate [101]. In the present study, our systems do not seem to be susceptible to much charge transfer onto the spin centers. Thereby, we expect that the predicted Jvalues should be accurate in analogy with the inorganic complexes. However, this generalization needs systematic study with organic systems. To compare our results with experimental works, we find Gilroy et al. [31] have reported 1,3-benzene-bridged N,N'-di(isopropyl)-6-oxoverdazyl diradical from empirical method by measuring magnetic susceptibly data at different temperatures. They have found that the diradical has a high-spin ground state with $J = 19.3 \pm 1.7$ cm⁻¹. In our DFT study, we get $J = 67 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ (Table 1), at the optimized level for diradical (i) which is essentially a similar diradical studied by Gilroy et al. [31], with only difference is that the isopropyl groups are substituted by the hydrogen atoms. Thus, our results are in good agreement with experimental work. In case of bis-thioxo-verdazyl series not many experimental studies are reported. Nevertheless, from this study it is clear that bis-thioxo verdazyls are good precursors for single molecule magnets. In this study, we notice that the J values are found to increase with bond order between the coupler and the radical fragments, which is manifested in spin population analysis. The NICS values (Table 5) are higher for the central benzenoid ring(s) of each coupler, while it is found that terminal rings have low NICS values, that is, a loss of benzenoid character is observed [90–92]. However, for tetracene and pentacene coupled diradicals in both sets, the J value increases due to increase in openshell radicaloid character of the spacers. Finally, this work also estimates HFCC values (see Table in Supplementary material).

Acknowledgments Financial support from Department of Science and Technology, India is thankfully acknowledged. S. Shil is thankful to UGC, India, for a fellowship. DJK acknowledges support (through grant BD-0894) from the Welch Foundation of Houston, Texas.

References

- 1. Kahn O (1993) Molecular magnetism. New York, VCH
- Molecular magnetism: from molecular assemblies to devices. In: Coronado E, Delhaè P, Gatteschi D, Miller JS (eds) (1996) NATO ASI Series E321, vol 321, Kluwer, Dordrecht
- 3. Benelli C, Gatteschi D (2002) Chem Rev 102:2369
- Tamura M, Nakazawa Y, Shiomi D, Nozawa K, Hosokoshi Y, Ishikawa M, Takahashi M, Kinoshita M (1991) Chem Phys Lett 186:401
- 5. Dougherty DA (1991) Acc Chem Res 24:88
- Borden WT, Iwamura H, Berson JA (1994) Acc Chem Res 27:109
- 7. Koivisto BD, Hicks RG (2005) Coord Chem Rev 249:2612
- Ziessel R, Stroh C, Heise H, Khler FH, Turek P, Claiser N, Souhassou M, Lecomte C (2004) J Am Chem Soc 126:12604
- 9. Ali Md E, Datta SN (2006) J Phys Chem A 110:2776
- 10. Ali Md E, Datta SN (2006) J Phys Chem A 110:13232
- Takeda K, Hamano T, Kawae T, Hidaka M, Takahashi M, Kawasaki S, Mukai K (1995) J Phys Soc Jpn 64:2343
- 12. Mukai K, Konishi K, Nedachi K, Takeda K (1996) J Phys Chem 100:9658
- 13. Kuhn R, Trischmann H (1963) Angew Chem Int Ed Engl 2:155
- Fico RM Jr, Hay MF, Reese S, Hammond S, Lambert E, Fox MA (1999) J Org Chem 64:9386
- 15. Brook DJR, Fox HH, Lynch V, Fox MA (1996) J Phys Chem 100:2066
- 16. Borden WT, Davidson ER (1977) J Am Chem Soc 99:4587
- 17. Neugebauer FA, Fischer H, Krieger C (1993) J Chem Soc Perkin Trans 2:535
- Mukai K, Konishi K, Nedachi K, Takeda K (1995) J Magn Magn Mater 140:1449
- Mukai K, Nedachi K, Takiguchi M, Kobayashi T, Amaya K (1995) Chem Phys Lett 238:61
- Kremer RK, Kanellakopulos B, Bele P, Brunner H, Neugebauer FA (1994) Chem Phys Lett 230:255
- Mito M, Nakano H, Kawae T, Hitaka M, Takagi S, Deguchi H, Suzuki K, Mukai K, Takeda K (1997) J Phys Soc Jpn 66:2147
- Mukai K, Nuwa M, Morishita T, Muramatsu T, Kobayashi TC, Amaya K (1997) Chem Phys Lett 272:501
- Jamali JB, Achiwa N, Mukai K, Suzuki K, Ajiro Y, Matsuda K, Iwamura H (1998) J Magn Magn Mater 177:789
- Mukai K, Wada N, Jamali JB, Achiwa N, Narumi Y, Kindo K, Kobayashi T, Amaya K (1996) Chem Phys Lett 257:538
- Jamali JB, Wada N, Shimobe Y, Achiwa N, Kuwajima S, Soejima Y, Mukai K (1998) Chem Phys Lett 292:661
- Hamamoto T, Narumi Y, Kindo K, Mukai K, Shimobe Y, Kobayashi TC, Muramatsu T, Amaya K (1998) Physica B 246:36
- Neugebauer FA, Fisher H, Siegel R (1988) Chem Ber 121:815
 Serwinski PR, Esat B, Lahti PM, Liao Y, Walton R, Lan J
- (2004) J Org Chem 69:5247
- 29. Hicks RG, Koivisto BD, Lemaire MT (2004) Org Lett 12:1887
- 30. Hicks RG, Hooper R (1999) Inorg Chem 38:28
- Gilroy JB, McKinnon SDJ, Kennepohl P, Zsombor MS, Ferguson MJ, Thompson LK, Hicks RG (2007) J Org Chem 72:8062
- Polo V, Alberola A, Andres J, Anthony J, Pilkington M (2008) Phys Chem Chem Phys 10:857
- 33. Latif IA, Panda A, Datta SN (2009) J Phys Chem A 113:1595
- 34. Bhattacharya D, Misra A (2009) J Phys Chem A 113:5470
- Bendikov M, Duong HM, Starkey K, Houk KN, Carter EA, Wudl F (2004) J Am Chem Soc 126:7416
- Clar E (1964) Polycyclic hydrocarbons, vols 1, 2. Academic Press, London

- Hegmann FA, Tykwinski RR, Lui KPH, Bullock JE, Anthony JE (2002) Phys Rev Lett 89:227403
- 38. Houk KN, Lee PS, Nendel M (2001) J Org Chem 66:5517
- 39. Chung G, Lee D (2001) Chem Phys Lett 350:339
- 40. de Graaf C, Sousa C, de PR Moreira I, Illas F (2001) J Phys Chem A 105:11371
- 41. Noodleman L (1981) J Chem Phys 74:5737
- 42. Noodleman L, Baerends EJ (1984) J Am Chem Soc 106:2316
- 43. Ginsberg AP (1980) J Am Chem Soc 102:111
- Noodleman L, Peng CY, Case DA, Mouesca J-M (1995) Coord Chem Rev 144:199
- 45. Noodleman L, Davidson ER (1986) Chem Phys 109:131
- Bencini A, Totti F, Daul CA, Doclo K, Fantucci P, Barone V (1997) Inorg Chem 36:5022
- Bencini A, Gatteschi D, Totti F, Sanz DN, McClevrty JA, Ward MD (1998) J Phys Chem A 102:10545
- Ruiz E, Cano J, Alvarez S, Alemany P (1999) J Comput Chem 20:1391
- 49. Caballol R, Castell O, Illas F, de PR Moreira I, Malrieu JP (1997) J Phys Chem A 101:7860
- 50. de PR Moreira I, Illas F (2006) Phys Chem Chem Phys 8:1645
- 51. Illas F, de PR Moreira I, Bofill JM, Filatov M (2004) Phys Rev B 70:132414
- 52. de PR Moreira I, Costa R, Filatov M, Illas F (2007) J Chem Theory Comput 3:764
- 53. Illas F, de PR Moreira I, Bofill JM, Filatov M (2006) Theor Chem Acc 116:587
- Yamaguchi K, Takahara Y, Fueno T, Nasu K (1987) Jpn J Appl Phys 26:L1362
- 55. Yamaguchi K, Tsunekawa T, Toyoda Y, Fueno T (1988) Chem Phys Lett 143:371
- Yamaguchi K, Jensen F, Dorigo A, Houk KN (1988) Chem Phys Lett 149:537
- 57. Yamaguchi K, Takahara Y, Fueno T, Houk KN (1988) Theor Chim Acta 73:337
- 58. Ruiz E, Alvarez S, Cano J, Polo V (2005) J Chem Phys 123:164110
- Adamo C, Barone V, Bencini A, Broer R, Filatov M, Harrison NM, Illas F, Malrieu JP, de PR Moreira I (2006) J Chem Phys 124:107101
- 60. Polo V, Gräfenstein J, Kraka E, Cremer D (2003) Theo Chem Acc 109:22
- 61. Gräfenstein J, Kraka E, Filatov M, Cremer D (2002) Int J Mol Sci 3:360
- 62. Martin RL, Illas F (1997) Phys Rev Lett 79:1539
- 63. Becke AD (1988) Phys Rev A 38:3098
- 64. Lee CT, Yang WT, Parr RG (1988) Phys Rev B 37:785
- 65. Becke AD (1993) J Chem Phys 98:5648
- 66. Hehre WJ, Ditchfield R, Pople JA (1972) J Chem Phys 56:2257
- 67. Hariharan PC, Pople JA (1973) Theor Chim Acta 28:213
- Francl MM, Pietro WJ, Hehre WJ, Binkley JS, Gordon MS, Defrees DJ, Pople JA (1982) J Chem Phys 77:3654
- 69. Frisch MJ, Trucks GW, Schlegel HB, Scuseria GE, Robb MA, Cheeseman JR, Montgomergy JA Jr, Vreven T, Kudin KN, Burant JC, Millam JM, Iyengar SS, Tomasi J, Barone V, Mennucci B, Cossi M, Scalmani G, Rega N, Petersson GA, Nakatsuji H, Hada M, Ehara M, Toyota K, Fukuda R, Hasegawa J, Ishido M, Nakajima T, Honda Y, Kitao O, Nakai H, Li X, Klene M, Knox JE, Hratchian HP, Cross JB, Bakken V, Adamo C, Jaramillo J, Gomperts R, Stratmann RE, Yazyev O, Austin J, Cammi R, Pomelli C, Ochterski JW, Ayala PY, Morokuma K, Voth GA, Salvador P, Doannernberg JJ, Zakrzewski VG, Dapprich S, Daniels AD, Strain MC, Farkas O, Malick DK, Rabuck AD, Raghavachari K, Foresman JB, Ortiz JV, Cui Q, Baboul AG,

Clifford S, Cioslowski J, Stefanov BB, Liu G, Liashenko A, Piskorz P, Komaromi I, Martin RL, Fox DG, Keith T, Al-Laham MA, Peng CY, Nanayakkara A, Challocombe M, Gill PMW, Johnson BG, Chen W, Wong MW, Gonzalez C, Pople J (2004) GAUSSIAN 03 W (Revision D.01) Gaussian Inc. Wallingford, CT

- 70. Hyperchem Professional Release 7.5 for Windows (2002) Hypercube Inc., Gainesville
- Flükiger P, Lüthi HP, Portmann S, Weber J (2000) MOLEKEL
 4.0. Swiss Center for Scientific Computing, Manno, Switzerland
- 72. Brook DJR, Yee GT (2006) J Org Chem 71:4889
- 73. Trindle C, Datta SN (1996) Int J Quantum Chem 57:781
- 74. Trindle C, Datta SN, Mallik B (1997) J Am Chem Soc 119:12947
- 75. Wiberg KB (1968) Tetrahedron 24:1083
- 76. Lieb EH, Mattis DC (1962) J Math Phys 3:749
- 77. Ovchinnikov AA (1978) Theor Chim Acta 47:297
- Klein DJ, Nelin C, Alexander S, Matsen FA (1982) J Chem Phys 77:3101
- Klein DJ, Alexander SA (1987) In: King RB (ed) Chemical applications of topology and graph theory. Elsevier, Amsterdam, pp 404–419
- Hoffmann R, Zeiss GD, Van Dine GW (1968) J Am Chem Soc 90:1485
- 81. Borden WT, Davidson ER (1981) Acc Chem Res 14:69
- Constantinides CP, Koutentis PA, Schatz J (2004) J Am Chem Soc 126:16232
- Hay PJ, Thibeault CJ, Hoffmann R (1975) J Am Chem Soc 97:4884
- Malloci G, Mulas G, Cappellini G, Joblin C (2007) Chem Phys 340:43

- Reddy AR, Fridman-Marueli G, Benidikov M (2007) J Org Chem 72:51
- 86. Clar E (1970) The aromatic sextet. Wiley, New York
- 87. Misra A, Klein DJ, Morikawa T (2009) J Phys Chem A 113:1151
- Misra A, Schmalz TG, Klein DJ (2009) J Chem Inf Model. doi: 10.1021/ci900321e
- Katrizky A, Barczymski P, Musumarra G, Pisano D, Szafran M (1989) J Am Chem Soc 111:7
- Schleyer PvR, Maerker C, Dransfeld A, Jiao H, Hommes NJRvE (1996) J Am Chem Soc 118:6317
- 91. Schleyer PvR, Manoharan M, Jiao H, Stahl F (2001) Org Lett 3:3643
- Chen Z, Wannere CS, Corminboeuf C, Puchta R, Schleyer PvR (2005) Chem Rev 105:3842
- 93. Klein DJ (1992) J Chem Edu 69:691
- 94. Klein DJ, Babic D (1997) J Chem Inf Comp Sci 37:656
- 95. Poater J, García-Cruz I, Illas F, Solà M (2004) Phys Chem Chem Phys 6:314
- 96. Feixas F, Matito E, Poater J, Solà M (2008) J Comput Chem 29:1543
- Plater MJ, Kemp S, Coronado E, Gómez-García CJ, Harrington RW, Clegg W (2006) Polyhedron 25:2433
- Neugebauer FA, Fischer H (1980) Angew Chem Int Ed Engl 19:724
- Valero R, Costa R, de PR Moreira I, Truhlar DG, Illas F (2008) J Chem Phys 128:114103
- 100. Rivero P, de PR Moreira I, Illas F, Scuseria GE (2008) J Chem Phys 129:184110
- 101. Ali Md E, Datta SN (2006) J Mol Struct (THEOCHEM) 775:19